United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,740	10/11/2005	Yasuhito Tsujii	052178 8301	
38834 7590 11/15/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			. EXAMINER	
			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036		•	1796	
•			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/525,740	TSUJII ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ana L. Woodward	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		1 / .				
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	126/2007, 8/29/20	70 F			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	,— ,,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
5) X	on of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed and Claim(s) is/are rejected.	32) n. vn from consideration. 30-32				
7)	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subjected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
٥,۵	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received ity CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/525,740 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is directed to an allowable product. Claim 3, previously withdrawn from considerations as being directed to a non-elected species in response to an election of species requirement, is hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the election of species requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on January 25, 2007 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, fails to provide express support for introducing the diamine and dicarboxylic acid components "separately" into a polymerization reaction apparatus.

Accordingly, since no support can be found for said limitation, such is deemed new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,740 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. 6,489,435 (Tanaka et al) as per reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive with respect to the rejection of claim 23 over Tanaka et al.

Tanaka et al clearly disclose that the diamine and diacid components are "separately" measured into the reactor. Applicants' new requirement, that the diamine and dicarboxylic acid components be "separately" introduced into the polymerization reaction apparatus, is thus met.

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's amendments, filed August 29, 2007, have effectively overcome the rejections over WO 99/61511, WO 99/61510 and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection over Tanaka et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-17, 19-22, 24-28 and 30-32 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1796

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,740 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) 271-272-1000.

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796